Aviation is a top-ten emitter of global warming pollution. And its pollution is forecast to triple by 2050.

Nations agreed in Paris in December 2015 to cut carbon pollution within their borders and hold the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursue efforts to limit that increase to 1.5 °C. But the Paris Agreement didn’t decide what to do about the pollution spewed out of airplanes flying between different countries. Separately, the UN’s International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), based in Montreal, has spent 19 years wrangling over who should be responsible for cutting this airplane pollution. ICAO now has a deadline of 7 October 2016 to finalize a program to cap and cut the carbon pollution of all international flights.

The International Coalition for Sustainable Aviation (ICSA) works to reduce pollution from air travel. A network of nonprofit organizations representing millions of members, ICSA is the only environmental civil society group accredited to ICAO. ICSA will be checking to see that on 7 October 2016, ICAO and its Member States adopt an agreement that:

- Caps emissions and invites greater ambition. The agreement should initially cap the net total carbon emissions of international civil aviation at 2020 levels. It should commit to hold regular reviews to set a path not only to help industry ratchet emissions down to the aviation industry’s stated goal of a 50% emissions cut based on 2005 levels, but also to strengthen the cap beyond that in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement, and promote important in-sector emission reductions.

- Maintains the integrity of the emissions cap. Those covered by the cap should make up for any exemptions granted to others. No cap-busting price ceilings should be allowed. Emissions reductions must not be “double counted” towards other obligations—the environmental goal must remain.

- Delivers sound, transparent accounting and governance via simple, accurate flight-by-flight emissions monitoring, reporting and verification, with reasonable safeguards for commercial confidentiality; clear compliance requirements enforceable under existing regulatory authorities; broad geographic availability of emissions units; simple registry and tracking of transactions; and tough standards to prevent conflicts of interest.

- Differentiates responsibilities among varied airlines and destinations, using a simple approach: Regional routes with already heavy carbon pollution should shoulder greater initial responsibility; obligations of small, fast-growing regional routes should increase as their pollution grows; and responsibilities should be updated regularly.

- Credits only biofuels that cut emissions beyond set thresholds, measured on a net lifecycle emissions basis, and only if the fuels meet environmental, social, and economic sustainability criteria, including low indirect land use change.

- Authorizes only high-quality emissions units. Airlines should only be allowed to use emissions credits and allowances from other sectors to offset their own emissions if those deliver real, additional, verifiable, permanent emissions reductions, and support sustainable development. Credits coming from environmentally questionable sources such as HFC-23, adipic acid, carbon capture and storage, large hydro, nuclear, and fossil fuel projects should be excluded.

- Invites additional action. Welcomes more ambitious policies to cut aviation pollution including through additional operational measures and rapid deployment of the lowest greenhouse gas emitting technologies.

- Builds capacity for developing countries to implement and enforce the plan.